

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/736,355	12/15/2003	Philip Scot Carter	2002-0282.02	4076
21972 75			EXAMINER	
	NTERNATIONAL, I AL PROPERTY LAW	GLEITZ, RYAN M		
740 WEST NEV	W CIRCLE ROAD	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BLDG. 082-1 LEXINGTON,	KY 40550-0999		2852	*
		. ** 2	DATE MAILED: 05/17/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Ap	plicati n N .	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		/736,355	CARTER ET AL.	A (
Office Action Summary	Exa	aminer	Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE AND	Rya	an Gleitz	2852	
The MAILING DATE f this comm	unication appears	on the c ver sheet	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU Extensions of time may be available under the provisi after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co If the period for reply specified above is less than third If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximur Failure to reply within the set or extended period for re Any reply received by the Office later than three mont earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b	JNICATION. ions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). I communication. by (30) days, a reply within catulory period will appl eply will, by statute, cause this after the mailing date.	In no event, however, may the statutory minimum of the ly and will expire SIX (6) Mo	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communicat	ion.
Status	• 9		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
1) Responsive to communication(s)	filed on	*	•	
2a) This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This actio	n is non-final	. A.	
3) Since this application is in condition			ttors prospertion on to the marks	
closed in accordance with the pra	ctice under Ex par	te Quavle. 1935 C	The inverse cutton as to the ments	IS
Disposition of Claims		·	5. 11, 100 0.0.210.	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7 and 8</u> is/are pending in	the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is		m consideration		•
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	ALIC WILLIGIAWIT ITO	in consideration.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7 and 8</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to rest		tion requirement	*	
Application Papers	, .		*	
9) The specification is objected to by	the Evaminer	•	•	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 Decemb</u>	er 2003 is/are: a)	⊠ accepted or b)□	Objected to by the Francisco	
Applicant may not request that any ob	iection to the drawin	g(s) he held in abeva	nce See 37 CER 1 95(a)	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	ng the correction is r	equired if the drawing	(s) is objected to Soc 37 CER 4 434/	(a)
11) The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examine	er. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152	(a). ··
	•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n for foreign priorit	y under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1 Certified copies of the priorit			•	
2. Certified copies of the priorit	y documents have	been received in A	pplication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies	s of the priority doc	cuments have been	received in this National Stage	
application from the Internati	ional Bureau (PCT	Rule 17.2(a)).	•	
* See the attached detailed Office acti	on for a list of the	certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)				
Attachment(s)			•	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948\	4) LI Interview S	iummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 of Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/15/03.	r PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of I	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action Su		Part of Paper No./Mail Date 200405	<u>.</u> 13

Application/Control Number: 10/736,355

Art Unit: 2852

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 7 is objected to because of the following informalities: The claim does not end with a period. Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 6 of U.S. Patent No. 6,678,489.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other. Claim 6 of the patent includes the limitations of claims 7 and 8 of the present application including two parts, one containing a developer member and the other containing a photoconductor, wherein one of the parts has a guide channel and the other has a guide stud.

Claim 6 of the patent lacks "said part containing a developer member having said at least one guide stud" and "said part containing a photoconductor having at least one guide channel".

Art Unit: 2852

નેહ

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the part having the guide stud in claim 6 of U. S. Patent No. 6,678,489 could have been the same part containing the developer member and that the part having the guide channel could have been the same part containing the guide stud because it is one of only two possible combinations of the structure as claimed.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ryan Gleitz whose telephone number is (571) 272-2134. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday between 9:00AM and 6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Arthur Grimley can be reached on (571) 272-2136. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

rg

Arthur T. Grimley
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800